

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/537,709	02/06/2006	Hans-Peter Sendelbach	076326-0305	6859
22428 7590 07/29/2008 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 500			AMORES, KAREN J	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/537.709 SENDELBACH ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit KAREN AMORES 3616 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) KAREN AMORES. (3) (2) Attorney Kevin McHenry. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 22 July 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 28.33 and 51. Identification of prior art discussed: Yamada JP 2001 171468. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview discussed the claim language for distinguishing between the prior art reference and the application, particularly the part of the envelope portion that "is folded together in a middle of the part to form a U-shaped pack". Reference Yamada discloses a pleated fold shown in Fig. 8B-VI and a natural fold in Fig. 8B-VII. The office also suggests method claims to include language to define the sequence of steps. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and I rademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) | Interview Summary Paper No. 20080722

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required

Attachment to a signed Office action.